ORDINANCE NO. 8283-42

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTIONS 4-18 AND 4-23 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES, CITY OF URBANA, ILLINOIS

WHEREAS, the Appellate Court of Illinois for the Fourth District in the case of the City of Champaign v. Auler (No. 482-0215, November 17, 1982), has held that the element of knowledge or scienter is required of the owner of a dog in order to find such owner in violation of an ordinance providing that no person owning any dog shall suffer or permit such dog to run at large; and

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Urbana, Illinois, had previously intended and also now intends, following said decision, to prohibit dogs from running at large without requiring proof of knowledge or negligent conduct on the part of the owner, possessor or keeper of any such dog, and as such to continue to have such sections of its Code of Ordinances be construed as malum prohibitum offenses.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF URBANA, ILLINOIS, as follows:

Section 1. That subsection (a) of Section 4-18, entitled "Subject to impoundment for running at large, etc.," of the Code of Ordinances, City of Urbana, Illinois, be and the same is amended so that such section shall read as follows:

(a) The running at large of any dog within the corporate limits of the City is hereby declared a nuisance and is prohibited. Any dog is subject to impoundment when the owner, possessor or keeper of any dog does not keep such dog under restraint at all times and such dog is found to be at large off the premises or property of such owner, possessor or keeper. Any dog shall be deemed at large when it is off the property of its owner, possessor or keeper, and not under control of the owner, possessor or keeper either by leash, cord, chain or otherwise. dog is under restraint within the meaning of this section if it is controlled by a leash, or at heel beside owner, possessor or keeper or is obedient to that person's commands, or within a vehicle driven or parked on the streets, or within the property limits of its owner, possessor or keeper.

Section 2. That subsection (a) of Section 4-23, entitled "Public nuisance; penalties," of the Code of Ordinances, City of Urbana, Illinois, be and the same is amended so that such section shall read as follows:

(a) Any person who is the owner, possessor or keeper of a dog which is a public nuisance is quilty of maintaining a public nuisance, or if any person is the owner, possessor or keeper of a dog otherwise subject to impounding under section 4-18, then such person shall be fined ten dollars (\$10.00) for the first violation, twenty dollars (\$20.00) for the second violation and between twenty dollars (\$20.00) and two hundred dollars (\$200.00) for each additional violation.

This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage and publication in accordance with the terms of Section 1-2-4 of the Illinois Municipal Code.

This Ordinance is hereby passed by the affirmative vote, the "ayes" and "nays" being called, of a majority of the members of the Council of the City of Urbana, Illinois, at regular meeting of said Council.

PASSED by the City Council this December, 1982.

APPROVED by the Mayor this 25 day of

1982.

CERTIFICATION OF PUBLICATION

I, RUTH S. BROOKENS, City Clerk, City of Urbana, Illinois, do herewith certify that I caused the above Ordinance to be duly published in the Champaign-Urbana News-Gazette on the nuary, 1983. りん day of

- 2 -

NANCE NO. 8283- 42 AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTIONS 4-18 AND 4-23 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES, CITY OF URBANA, ILLINOIS

WHEREAS, the Appellate Court of Illinois for the Fourth District in the case of the City of Champaign v. Auler (No. 482-0215, November 17, 1982), has held that the element of 1982), has neig that the element of knowledge or scienter is required of the owner of a dog in order to find such owner in violation of an ordinance providing that no person owning any dog shall suffer or permit ing any dog shall suffer or permit such dog to run at large; and

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Urbana, Illinois, had previ-City of Urbana, Illinois, had previously intended and also now intends, following said decision, to prohibit dogs from running at large without requiring proof of knowledge or negligent conduct on the part of the owner, possessor or keeper of any such dog, and as such to continue to have such sections of its Code of have such sections of its Code of Ordinances be construed as malum prohibitum offenses.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF URBANA, IL-LINOIS, as follows:

Section 1. That subsection (a) of Section 4-18, entitled "Subject to impoundment for running at large, etc.," of the Code or Ordinances, City of Urbana, Illinois, be and the same is amended so that such sections half pro tion shall read as follows:

(a) The running at large of any dog within the corporate limits of the City is hereby declared a nuisance and is prohibited. Any dog is subject to impoundment when the owner, possessor or keeper of any dog does not keep such dog under restraint at all times and such dog is found to be at large off the premises or property of such owner, possessor or keeper. Any dog shall be deemed at large when it is off the property of its owner, posses-sor or keeper, and not under con-

CERTIFICATE OF PUBLICATION IN The News-Gazette

| owner of a dog in order to find | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| ce providing that no person own- | The undersigned, | THE CHAMPAIG | N NEWS-GAZETTE, | INCORPORATED, by |
| . dog to full at large; and | | MAN | | controller, does hereby |
| HEREAS, the City Council of the of Urbana, Illinois, had previy intended and also now intends, wing said decision, to prohibit from running at large without | certify that said Corpor | ation is the publish | , | |
| t conduct on the part of the | a daily secular newspay | per of general circ | ulation published in | Champaign, Champaign |
| dog, and as such to continue to such sections of its Code of lances be construed as malum bitum offenses. | County, Illinois, and w | hich said newspap | er had been regular | ly published for more |
| W, THEREFORE, BE IT OR- | than six months prior to the first publication of the annexed notice; said publisher | | | |
| THE CITY OF URBANA, IL- | h week for | | | |
| tion 1. That subsection (a) of in 4-18, entitled "Subject to im- | consecutive weeks in sa | id newspaper, name | | |
| of the Code or Ordinances, of Urbana, Illinois, be and the is amended so that such assets | · . | | gan | 7 , A. D. 19 83 |
|) The running at large of any within the corporate limits of | | | <u> </u> | , A. D. 19 |
| e and is prohibited. Any dog is | | | | , A. D. 19 |
| does not keep such dog under aint at all times and such dog und to be at large of the | | | | , A. D. 19 |
| essor or keeper. Any dog shall | _ | | | , A. D. 19 |
| property of its owner, posses- r keeper, and not under con- | | | | |
| | Said publisher furti | her certifies that th | ne date of the first pa | per containing the said |
| | notice was on the first | date hereinabove s | et forth, and that the | date of the last paper |
| CIT. | containing the said not | ice was on the las | t date hereinabove se | et forth. |
| RECURBAN | | | | |
| JAN 1 0 1983 | L Th | ne Champaigi | n News-Gazet | te, Incorporated |
| CITY CLERK'S OFFICE | 4 | 100 | 7 | |
| S OFFICE | Ву | 7 | | Controller |
| - | | | | |

PUBLISHER OF THE NEWS-GAZETTE

Publisher's fee &